

Appendix 2 – List of Objection

Name of Objector	Date Received	Grounds of Objection
Mr F Cullen and Mrs M Cullen	20/2/17	<p>Loss of Amenity – layby is used to allow manoeuvring of vehicles when parking in drive of house opposite and is used on a regular basis by delivery drivers and also by HGV drivers. It can also be used to access the telephone box</p> <p>Road Safety – use of the layby makes it easier for vehicle drivers to locate addresses on this stretch of road</p> <p>Disputes the view that there is a road safety issue that would make the proposed measures necessary</p> <p>Unnecessary expenditure of public funds – disagrees that current pattern of infrequent stopping and parking of vehicles in the layby justifies spending public money on changing the existing use.</p>
Mrs A.R. Brown	20/2/17	<p>Loss of Amenity – uses layby to allow self and visitors to use the pelican crossing to access house rather than using drive and reversing onto the road when exiting.</p> <p>Loss of Amenity – layby is the only stopping place on the A814 for a considerable distance and can be used to access the adjacent telephone box</p> <p>Road Safety – questions validity of the view that there is a road safety issue caused by vehicles parked in the layby and notes that visibility has not changes since the junction was created.</p>
Mr W.M Brown	20/2/17	<p>Loss of Amenity and Road Safety – uses the layby on a regular basis to allow elderly resident passenger to cross road to home on the other side of the road using the pedestrian crossing rather than reversing from the house drive way onto the road.</p>